

The Design of Automatic Soil pH Control System on Aloe vera Cultivation with an Integration of Internet of Things (IoT)

Renny Eka Putri¹, Widi Darmadi¹, Dinah Cherie¹, Aninda Puari^{1⊠}

¹ Department of Agricultural and Biosystem Engineering, Andalas University, Padang, INDONESIA.

Article History :

Received : 6 March 2023 Received in revised form : 21 May 2023 Accepted : 6 June 2023

Keywords : Aloe vera, Control system, Internet of things (IoT), Soil pH sensor

ABSTRACT

Machine learning and internet of thing (IoT) would be the best option for monitoring the appropriate soil pH condition. This research aimed on the design an automatic soil pH control system based on IoT for monitoring the cultivation of Aloe vera plants. The Telegram application was occupied as an IoT platform and was connected to a free and easy access application, Node MCU 8266. Furthermore, relay, Arduino Uno and smartphone were occupied in the system. According to the system testing, soil pH sensor readings are close to the actual value as evidenced by the linear regression value or R2 on sensors 1 and 2 which are close to 1. Meanwhile, the total percentage of system performance testing was 93% while the error value for the pH sensors were 0.96 and 1.6% for sensor 1 and sensor 2, respectively. Furthermore, the plant observations showed that the average leaf length of plants with a control system was 24.78 cm while with the manual system was 23.11 cm. From the results of the T test obtained, it was found that the control system applied to Aloe vera cultivation had a significant effect on the growth and development of Aloe vera compared to Aloe vera plants with a manual system.

1. INTRODUCTION

[™]Corresponding Author:

anindapuari@ae.unand.ac.id

Up to this date, *Aloe vera* has been exploited for its pharmaceutical value. The gel of the plant has been processed for medicinal and cosmetic application, such as wound healing, anti-inflammatory (Heng *et al.*, 2018; Veerasubramanian *et al.*, 2018), sun light protection skin lotions, and anti-aging (Javed & Atta-Ur, 2014; Lee *et al.*, 2021). Its application for the purpose been recorded globally, for instance in India, Egypt, Greece, Rome, and China (Ahlawat & Khatkar, 2011). The up to date facts regarding to its biologically active components has expanded *Aloe vera* usage into food industry, particularly in Indonesia (Achmad *et al.*, 2019; Suriati *et al.*, 2021). The knowledge of its valuable used in the industries has been made *Aloe vera* is regarded as useful nutritional substance (Javed & Atta-Ur, 2014).

The booming of *Aloe vera* business is eventually resulted in high demand of the crop (*CBI*, 2020). Moreover, the development of the crop has emerged due to the global demand as consumers are recently more concerned with a healthy lifestyle (Radha & Laxmipriya, 2015; Hęś *et al.*, 2019). Hence, the cultivation of the plant provides business opportunity for the community. However, in West Sumatera, *Aloe vera* has experienced a decreased production from 2018 to 2019, which from 3644 kg/ year to 2638 kg/year (BPS, 2020).

One of the techniques that may be boosting up the crop yield is fertility management (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2021). The nutrient uptake especially nitrogen (N) has been determined as enhancement for physical growth of *Aloe vera*. Unbalancing of nutrients content in soil gives deleterious effects on soil health and eventually the crop yield (Cristiano *et al.*, 2016; Rehman *et al.*, 2016). Investigation has determined that quality and quantity of the nutrient contents in soil are dependent on the soil pH (Yurisinthae *et al.*, 2012). If soil pH is too high, the alkaline condition results in the nutrient uptake from urea fertilizer is not optimal. Meanwhile, if the soil pH is too high, the acidic condition will result in higher rate bioavailability of heavy metal in the soil. It will eventually have an effect of heavy metal poisoning on the *Aloe vera* which hampers the growth of *Aloe vera* and reduces the tillering (Robledo *et al.*, 2017).

Regarding to the mentioned above, soil condition must be monitored continuously for *Aloe vera* cultivation. Machine learning and internet of thing (IoT) would be the best option for monitoring the appropriate soil pH condition for *Aloe vera*. The coupling of the technologies can effectively monitor the farms to achieve higher yields (Jawad *et al.*, 2017; Mathi *et al.*, 2023). The soil status and crop health are monitored precisely by IoT since effective measures can be taken immediately when certain issues are detected. Moreover, the technology can address certain problem faced in agricultural sector, for instance the availability of the farmlands (Mathi *et al.*, 2023).

Previously the automatic soil pH control system has been utilized for monitoring the cultivation of rice plants (Santoso *et al.*, 2022) and tea nurseries (Ikhtiar *et al.*, 2020). The ideal soil pH for rice plants was at a value of 4-7 and for tea seedlings was at a value of 4.5 – 5.5. However, To the best of our knowledge, no detailed research has yet been published on the automatic soil pH control system based on the IoT for controlling the soil pH for cultivation *Aloe vera*. Hence, the objective of this study is to design an automatic soil pH control system based on IoT for monitoring the cultivation of *Aloe vera* plants. The Telegram application is occupied as an IoT platform and is connected to a free and easy access application, Node MCU 8266. Observation and data analysis are done to evaluate the performance of the designed automatic soil pH based on IoT.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The research was done by experimental method. Several stages were conducted accordingly in this research, which were 1) designing the prototype of a soil pH meter equipped with IoT, 2) connecting the system of soil pH measurement to the IoT platform, Telegram application that was equipped with Esp8266 Node MCU, and 3) observing the overall performance of the device and performing data analysis.

2.1. The Prototype Design of Soil pH Control

The prototype was designed in two levels of shelves as seen in Figure 1. The dimension of the prototype was $2m \times 0.15m \times 1m$. Wooden block was chosen as the based material of the shelf. Each of the shelf was equipped with a 2m water gutter as the

pots and HDPE water pipe for water supply. Ten *Aloe vera* were placed in each of the pots. Meanwhile, the soil pH sensor was placed in the middle each of the pots by plugging it into the soil. The command was sent only from 1 sensor, hence the sensor at level 2 was chosen as the reference in controlling the soil pH. The preliminary test was done beforehand to assure that both sensors had similar data distribution.



Figure 1. The prototype design of the soil pH control system (a), (b), (c)

Acetic acid and buffer pH 10 where used as the acid and alkaline solution to stabilize the soil pH. Each of the solution was stored in a plastic container. Inside of each container was equipped with a pump to pump the solution. The top of the container was covered by transparent plastic. The prototype was covered by a transparent roof to block rainwater from the plants.

The range pH of 5-6 was chosen for the pH soil of the *Aloe vera* cultivation. When the set point of the soil pH was detected under 5 then the pump of the base solution would be automatically working. The solution was pumped into the soil through the HDPE pipe along with water to assure it was directly absorbed into the soil. On the other hand, the acid pump would be automatically pumping the acid solution when the set point was detected higher than 6. Furthermore, the pumps were set to be off when the pH soil reached 5.5. The relay was set to be off by the microcontroller that eventually also stopped the pump when the desired pH point was reached.

2.2. Connecting System in the IoT Platform

An Internet of Things (IoT) working system was adopted by the control system in this experiment to facilitate user along controlling process of the soil pH during the *Aloe vera* cultivation. The control system was consisted of several core components namely, soil pH sensor, MCU ESP 8266 node, relay, Arduino Uno and smartphone. The components were arranged accordingly as the instructions of the programming language. The control system circuit can be seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2. The control system circuit

Meanwhile, the Telegram was connected to WiFi NodeMCU ESP8266 module to show the reading output from the sensor on the soil pH of the plant. The registration was conducted on Bot Telegram which is called botfather. The ID was provided by botfather after the registration was completed. The ID was then used to start the new project on the Telegram application. The internet connection was mandatory to assure that NodeMCU ESP8266 was working properly. The connection was provided by a hotspot or a rooter that was connected through program application of aroduino IDE. The telegram display can be seen in Figure 3.

ITELKOMSEL 🥽 🞯 = 12.04 🖉 👁 💶
← MB Monitoring pH Tanah : bot
10.00 00 1
Hasil Sensor 1=5.93 10:51
Pengukuran pH Tanah Sensor 1 11:02 🖋
Hasil Sensor 1=4.54 11:02
Pengukuran pH Tanah Sensor 1 11:15 🗸
Hasil Sensor 1=6.59 11:15
Pengukuran pH Tanah Sensor 2 11:18 🗸
Hasil Sensor 2=5.52 11:18
Pengukuran pH Tanah Sensor 2 11:20 📈
Hasil Sensor 2=5.14 11:20
Pengukuran pH Tanah Sensor 2 11:25 🖋
Hasil Sensor 2=4.57 11:29
Pengukuran pH Tanah Sensor 2 11:50 🗸
Hasil Sensor 2=6.05 11:50
🗊 Þesan 🖉 🕖

Figure 3. The display of Telegram room chat

2.3. System Performance Testing

The testing was conducted on the automatic pH control system. Each of the component was tested through the application of telegram. The testing was evaluated based on the system performance on controlling the soil pH.

The system testing performance was done based on evaluation on four parameters, which were pH detection by the sensor, activation of the relays, the working pumps, and the read value by the telegram, respectively. The reading stability of each of the parameters was presented in the percentage and was determined by Equation (Eq) 1.

$$Success \ rate = \frac{Success \ test}{Total \ test} \ x \ 100\%$$
(1)

The percentages obtained by performing Eq. 1 for six parameters were then given as:

$$Percentage = \frac{a+b+c+d+e+f}{4} \times 100\%$$
(2)

where *a* is pH detection by the sensor, *b* is activation of the relay 1, *c* is activation of the relay 2, *d* is the working pump 1, *e* is the working pump 2, and *f* is the read value by the telegram.

2.4. The Validation of the Sensor Measurement

The validation of the sensor reading was conducted by comparing the reading by the used soil pH to the standardized soil tester. The error value was used to evaluate the validity of the sensor. The reading was done three times a day on every 7 days for 1 month. The time of data collecting was at 8 in the morning, 1 and 6 in the afternoon. Meanwhile, the error value of soil pH sensor was calculated by the Equation 3.

$$Error = \frac{(A-B)}{A} \times 100\%$$
(3)

where A is the read value by standardized soil tester, and B is the read value by the used soil pH.

2.5. Data Analysis

Data analysis was carried out to determine the mean and regression from the experimental data. Regression analysis was conducted to determine the accuracy of the reading results. The mean or the average was obtained by calculating the total value of the data divided by the number of observations as presented in the Equation 4.

$$Mean = \frac{\Sigma x}{n} \tag{4}$$

where *x* is variable predictor, and *n* is number of test.

Meanwhile the regression analysis was determined from line equation from the plotting the data into the graph, as presented in Equation 5.

$$Y = a + bx \tag{5}$$

where Y is response variable, a is a constant, and b is regression coefficient.

To determine the value of *a* and *b*, the Equation 6 and 7 were conducted.

$$a = \frac{(\Sigma Y)(\Sigma X^2) - (\Sigma X)(\Sigma XY)}{n(\Sigma X^2) - (\Sigma X)^2}$$
(6)

$$b = \frac{n(\Sigma XY) - (\Sigma X)(\Sigma Y)}{n(\Sigma X^2) - (\Sigma X)^2}$$
(7)

2.6. Plant Observation

The plant was observed every 7 days when the system was running for 1 month. The length and the amount of *Aloe vera* leaf were chosen as the parameters of the observation. There were 20 of *Aloe vera* were treated in the experiments. During the cultivation, the urea fertilizer was added for every 20 days (Arnawaty, 2006). The plant was watered daily in the morning.

Meanwhile, 10 *Aloe vera* was used as the control plant. The control plant as given the same treatment, however without the soil pH controlling. The control plant was used as comparison to evaluate the effect of soil pH system in the cultivation of *Aloe vera*.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Validity of Sensor Measurement

The validity of the sensor reading measurement was evaluated by comparing the outcome value from the sensors to the one from the standardized pH meter. The measurement was done under several soil pH conditions. The pH was adjusted with the addition of acid or alkaline solution. Figure 4 showed the graph of the comparison read pH for sensor calibration.



Figure 4. The comparison of read pH from two used sensors to the standardized one

It was seen from the figure that calibration obtained R^2 from the both sensors. The R^2 was used to determine the accuracy of the read pH from the tested sensors. The calibration results in fig. 4 showed that R^2 for both sensors were closed to 1. The R^2 from sensor 1 and sensor 2 were 0.99221 and 0.99735, respectively. The value showed that the results from the tested pH sensors are highly linear with the outcome of standardized pH meter as the reference. Regarding to the results, the pH value from the sensors during the experiments was reliable and valid.

3.2. Performance of System Control

The test of system performance was conducted in two steps. The first one was the testing on system performance without the existence of the *Aloe vera*. The test was called as the blank system test. Meanwhile, the second one was conducted with the presence of the plant and called as the *Aloe vera* system test.

3.2.1. The IoT System Test

The test was conducted to assure that the system was working properly on determining the soil pH, the relay was adjusting the read pH to the working pump, and the telegram was displaying the sensor outcome. Hence, when conducting the test, *Aloe vera* was not involved in the system. The qualitative results of performance testing were presented in Table 1.

Based on the displayed data in Table 1, the relay was working properly on setting the pump regarding the pH from the sensor. It was seen that the relay 2 was on and the pump 2 was pumping the base solution during the first test when the pH was below 5. Meanwhile, on the second and third test both relays were off. It was due to

the pH from both tests were detected in a range of 5-6. Furthermore, the relay 1 was performing on the last two tests, as the pH values were read higher than 6.

			•		0	
No	Soil pH Sensor	Relay 1	Relay 2	Acid Pump	Base Pump	Telegram
1	4.57	OFF	ON	OFF .	ON .	Detected
2	5.14	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	Detected (<i>Delayed</i> 3 second)
3	5.52	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	Detected
4	6.05	ON	OFF	ON	OFF	Detected
5	6.53	ON	OFF	ON	OFF	Detected (<i>Delayed</i> 5 second)

Table 1. The qualitative results of performance testing

Regarding to the results, the delay was noticed during the second and the last tests. Nevertheless, the soil pH could be read despite of the delay for 3-5 seconds. The delay was caused by the distance between the control system and the internet source, wireless fidelity (WiFi) which is quite far so that the signal strength is reduced. Therefore, the WiFi with stronger and faster internet speed was placed closer to the control system to prevent the delay during the running system. According to the data obtained in Table 1, therefore the percentage of reading stability of each parameter during testing can be calculated using Eq. 1. The results were displayed in Table 2.

No	Parameter	Total number of test	Total of success test	Percentage (%)
1.	Sensor	5	5	100
2.	Relay 1	5	5	100
3.	Relay 2	5	5	100
4.	Acid pump	5	5	100
5.	Base pump	5	5	100
6.	Telegram application	5	3	60

Table 2. The percentage of reading stability for all parameters of IoT pH soil system

It was clearly seen from Table 2 that reading stability of the six parameters were defined during performance testing. Five parameters were reaching 100% of success percentage while one parameter, which was Telegram application obtained only 60% of success percentage. The result was due to the delay occurred during 2 tests out of the total of 5. The delay was determined as the unsuccessful one. It is noteworthy that the result was aligned with the previous finding by (Oktavianda, 2021). It was shown in the study that the testing on the performance of system control had a delay for 3 seconds. The result was also marked as the unsuccessful one during the system testing.

Referring the percentage from the Table 2, therefore the total percentage from the system performance testing could be determined by using the Eq. 2 which was:

$$Percentage (\%) = \frac{100\% + 100\% + 100\% + 100\% + 100\% + 60\%}{6} = 93\%$$

Regarding to the calculation, the total percentage of the system performance testing was 93%. The result was similar with previous study by (Oktavianda, 2021). The study also showed the percentage of 93% on the performance testing of system control. According to the study, this result was claimed to represent the system was working accordingly.

3.2.2 The Aloe Vera System Test

The test was conducted to calculate the required amount of acid and base solution and the required time of the both pumps to reach the soil pH of 5.5. According to the pretest, it was reported that the average flow rate of the pump was 6.172 ml/min. The average was obtained by averaging the experimental data as seen in Table 3. As seen in the Table 3, the test was conducted in five times and the standard deviation (SD) from the obtained data was 0.058. Based on the SD, the difference of the data from the replication was acceptable.

Repetition	Flow rate
Repetition 1	6.250 ml/minutes
Repetition 2	6.190 ml/ minutes
Repetition 3	6.210 ml/ minutes
Repetition 4	6.090 ml/ minutes
Repetition 5	6.120 ml/ minutes
Average	6.172 ml/ minutes
Standard Deviation	0.058

Table 3. Flow rate system test

The required amount of acid and base solutions was displayed in the Table 4 and Table 5, respectively. It was clearly seen in the Table 3 that 135 ml of acid solution was required to adjust the desired soil pH of 5.5 when the initial soil pH was higher than 6. The required amount of solution was increasing when the initial pH was higher than 6.5. The amount was obtained by using the pump with the flow rate 103 ml/second according to the previously done flow rate test. According to the initial pH condition during the experiments, the initial of soil pH was in a range of 6 - 6.5. Therefore, based on the displayed data in the Table 3, the total required acid solution of the system for 20 of *Aloe vera* during the experimental period was 2.7 L.

Initial pH	Final pH	pH difference	Injected acid solution volume (ml)	Required water (l)	Pump flow rate (ml/s)	Pump Active Time (s)
6.5		1.0	135	2.700		26
7.0		1.5	150	3.000	102	29
7.5	5.5	2.0	170	3.400	103	33
8.0		2.5	185	3.700		35

Table 4. Data of the system test on acid solution injection and pump active time

Meanwhile, it was clearly seen in the Table 5 that the required amount of base solution was varied regarding to the initial soil pH. The most required amount of base solution was when the initial pH condition was at the lowest one, which was 145 ml with the initial soil pH was 3.5. Furthermore, the amount was less with 126 ml when the initial soil pH was 4.5. As the initial soil pH during the experiments was in approximately 4.5, therefore the required amount of base solution during the system running could be determined. According to the data displayed in Table 5, the required amount for the base solution to adjust the pH to 5.5 was 2.52 L.

Initial pH	Final pH	pH difference	Injected acid solution volume (ml)	Required water (I)	Pump flow rate (ml/s)	Pump Active Time (s)
4.5		1.0	126	2.520		24.4
4.0	5.5	1.5	138	2.760	103	26.8
3.5		2.0	145	2.900		28.1

|--|

3.3. Accuracy and Error Value

The accuracy test was conducted to assure the pH reading was accurate during the experimental period. The test was done by measuring the soil pH and comparing the reading value of each sensor soil pH to the standardized pH meter. The data was compared on every 7 days for a month. The results of measurements were displayed in Figure 5.



Figure 5. The comparison of reading pH from the standardized pH meter to (a) the pH sensor 1 and 2 (b) the pH sensor in the time series of measurement period.

It was clearly seen in the Figure 5 that the value from both pH sensors were close to the reading value by the reference pH meter for 28 days of measurement. Hence, the data from pH sensors could be reliable to be referred for soil pH controlling system. To support the result, the error value was also calculated. The calculation was done to determine the proximity of the sensor performance to the value of the standardized pH meter. The error value of the sensor was presented in Table 5.

Dav	pH Meter	Sensor 1	Sensor 2	Error Value (%)		
Day				Sensor 1	Sensor 2	
7		5.53	5,55	0.545	0.909	
14	. .	5.53	5,56	0.545	1.091	
21	5.5	5.57	5,59	1.273	1.636	
28		5.58	5,65	1.455	2.727	
Average				0.955	1.591	
Standard Deviation 0,414				0,414	0,708	

According to the presented data in Table 5, the error value of sensor 1 and sensor 2 were 0.96% and 1.6%, respectively. According to the previous studies by Mohammad

et al. (2021), the error value less than 10% was acceptable. Regarding to the published results, it is noteworthy that the sensor was working properly.

3.4. Crop Observation

The observations were done on the crop with soil pH control using system control and the crop without soil pH controlling. The observation was conducted to examine the difference on the crop with different treatment on soil pH. The crops with the two different treatments were displayed in Figure 6.



Figure 6. (a) The crop with soil pH controlling and (b) the crop without soil pH controlling

There were two parameters to differentiate the treatment during observation which were the length and the amount of leaf of the *Aloe vera*. The observation was done every seven days for 1 month. The results of the two observed parameters were displayed in Figure 7.



Figure 7. The observation on the length (a) and amount (b) of the *Aloe vera* leaf with different treatment in the time series of measurement period

It was clearly seen in the figure 7a that the leaf was longer with the control system on the soil pH than the one without. The average of the leaf length of the *Aloe vera* with control system was 24.78 and the one without was 23.11 cm, on the twenty-eighth day. The average of the length difference of the *Aloe vera* within the two treatments was 1,7 cm. Furthermore, the statistical analysis was also conducted through the overall and weekly data T-test. The weekly T-test results for the first, second, third and fourth week showed a significant value which were 3.63×10^{-29} , 1.35×10^{-27} , 2.46×10^{-27} , 1.04×10^{-28} , respectively. Moreover, the overall T-test also obtained the significant value with 5.2×10^{-94} .

Meanwhile, figure 7b presented the amount of leave of the *Aloe vera* during a month of observation. The figure showed that there was no difference on the amount of leaf from the two different treatments. Moreover, the calculated average of the amount of leave from both treatment conditions were 6 leaves. Regarding to the presented results, the treatment did not affect the amount of *Aloe vera* leaf. Apart from the length and amount of leaf. It was also noteworthy that the soil pH was increased during a month of the crop observation. Table 6 presented the pump activity during a month of the observation. It was noticeable in the Table 6 that that the acid pump was active on every three days and the 2.7 liter of acid solution was sucked. As previously mentioned, that the control system was adjusted regarding to the detected soil pH. When the soil pH was higher than 6, therefore the acid pump would be pumping the acid solution to adjust the desired pH. It was mentioned by (Khainur, 2021) that photosynthesis activity of the crop required the CO₂ and water, and yet produced oxygen which was the cause of the increasing soil pH.

Day	Pump activity	Solution volume
1	inactive	constant
2	inactive	constant
3	inactive	constant
4	Acid Pump active	2.7 L acid was decreased
5	inactive	constant
6	inactive	constant
7	inactive	constant
8	inactive	constant
9	Acid Pump active	2.7 L acid was decreased
10	inactive	constant
11	inactive	constant
12	inactive	constant
13	Acid Pump active	2.7 L acid was decreased
14	inactive	constant
15	inactive	constant
16	inactive	constant
17	Acid Pump active	2.7 L acid was decreased
18	inactive	constant
19	inactive	constant
20	Acid Pump active	2.7 L acid was decreased
21	inactive	constant
22	inactive	constant
23	Acid Pump active	2.7 L acid was decreased
24	inactive	constant
25	inactive	constant
26	inactive	constant
27	Acid Pump active	2.7 L acid was decreased
28	inactive	constant

Table 6. The pump activity and volume of acid and base solution

4. CONCLUSION

In order to observe the compatibility of internet of things (IoT) application on the soil pH control system, the IoT soil pH controlling on *Aloe vera* was created. Regarding to the accuracy of the soil pH reading, the system reading was close to the actual value with the linear regression were close to 1 for both pH sensors. Furthermore, the application of IoT based soil pH control system resulted in longer size of the *Aloe vera* leaf compared to the without pH controlling system. According to the obtained data, it can be concluded that the IoT based was compatible to the soil pH system control, Moreover, the soil pH control system stimulated the grow of the *Aloe vera* leaf.

REFERENCES

- Achmad, D., Maswadi, & Hamzani, U. (2019). Performance factors in aloe vera's value chain as a green product. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 8 (5), 69–74.
- Ahlawat, K.S., & Khatkar, B.S. (2011). Processing, food applications and safety of aloe vera products: A review, 48(5), 525–533. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-011-0229-z.
- Arnawaty, A. (2006). Respon Pemberian Pupuk Urea Dengan Berbagai Dosis Terhadap Pertumbuhan Dan Hasil Tanaman Lidah Buaya (*Aloe vera*). [*Undergraduate Thesis*]. Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Husbandry, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang.
- BPS (Badan Pusat Statistik). (2020). *Produksi Lidah Buaya*. Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik.
- *CBI.* (2020). Available at: https://www.cbi.eu/market-information/natural-ingredientshealth-products/aloe-vera/market-potential (Accessed: 4 March 2023).
- Chowdhury, T., Chowdhury, Md.A.H., Qingyue, W., Enyoh, C.E., Wang, W., & Khan, Md.S.I. (2021). Nutrient uptake and pharmaceutical compounds of *Aloe vera* as influenced by integration of inorganic fertilizer and poultry manure in soil. *Heliyon*, **7**(7), e07464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07464.
- Cristiano, G., Murillo-Amador, B., & De Lucia, B. (2016). Propagation techniques and agronomic requirements for the cultivation of Barbados aloe (*Aloe vera* (L.) Burm. F.)—A review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **7**(), 1410. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01410.
- Heng, H.C., Zulfakar, M.H., & Ng, P.Y. (2018). Pharmaceutical applications of *Aloe vera*. *Indonesian J. Pharm.*, **29**(3), 101–116. https://doi.org/10.14499/ indonesianjpharm29iss3pp101.
- Hęś, M., Dziedzic, K., Górecka, D., Jędrusek-Golińska, A., & Gujska, E. (2019). Aloe vera (L.) Webb.: natural sources of antioxidants A review. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 74(3), 255–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-019-00747-5.
- Ikhtiar, W., Rusdinar, A., & Prasetya, W.D. (2020). Perancangan sistem kontrol derajat keasaman tanah pada pembibitan teh di PPTK (Pusat Penelitian Teh dan Kina) Gamboeng. *e-Proceeding of Engineering*, **7**(1), 156–161.
- Javed, S., & Atta-Ur, R. (2014). Aloe vera gel in food, health products, and cosmetics industry. In *Studies in Natural Products Chemistry*. 1st ed. Elsevier B.V. https:// doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63294-4.00009-7.
- Jawad, H.M., Nordin, R., & Gharghan, S.K. (2017). Energy-efficient wireless sensor networks for precision agriculture: A review. Sensors (Basel), 17(8), 1781. https://doi.org/10.3390/s17081781.

- Khainur, A. 2021. Rancang Bangun Sistem Otomatisasi pH Larutan Nutrisi pada Budidaya Tanaman Hidroponik Sistem Deep Flow Technique (DFT) Berbasis Internet of Things. Padang : Universitas Andalas.
- Lee, S.-H., Eun, C.-H., Kwon, Y.-S., Baek, J.-H., & Kim, I.-J. (2021). Evaluation of fermented extracts of aloe vera processing byproducts as potential functional ingredients. *Fermentation*, **7**(4), 269. https://doi.org/10.3390/ fermentation7040269.
- Mathi, S., Akshaya, R., & Sreejith, K. (2023). An internet of things-based efficient solution for smart an Internet of Things-based efficient solution for smart farming farming. *Procedia Computer Science*, **218**(2022), 2806–2819. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2023.01.252.
- Mohammad, L., Suyanto, S., Asy'ari, M.K., Husna, A., & Pakpahan, S. (2021). Pengembangan sistem hidroponik otomatis-modern berbasis panel surya dan baterai. Jurnal Nasional Teknik Elektro dan Teknologi Informasi, **10**(1), 77-84.
- Oktavianda, R. (2021). Pengembangan Alat Penyiram Tanaman Cerdas pada Sawi Pakcoy (*Brassica rapa* L.) Berbasis Internet of Things (IoT) Mendukung Urban Farming. [*Undergraduate Thesis*]. Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Universitas Andalas, Padang.
- Radha, M.H., & Laxmipriya, N.P. (2015). Evaluation of biological properties and clinical effectiveness of *Aloe vera*: A systematic review. *Journal of Traditional and Complementary Medicine*, 5(1), 21–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jtcme.2014.10.006.
- Rehman, K.U., Nisa, R.U., Ali, B., Ali, J., Rahid, A., Khan, A., & Nisa, M.I.U. (2016). Influence of nitrogen level and planting time on growth of *Aloe vera* plant. *International Journal of Bioscience*, **9**(4). http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/9.4.270-280.
- Robledo, J., Valencia, J., & Hincapié, W.A. (2017). Crecimiento de retoños basales de aloe vera (*Aloe barbadensis* Miller) en sistemas de producción asociados. *Agronomia Colombiana*, **35**(2), 190–197. http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/ agron.colomb.v35n2.62653.
- Santoso, G., Hani, S., & Putra, U.D. (2022). Pemantauan kualitas tanah pada tanaman padi memakai sensor ph tanah menggunakan Internet of Things. *Teknoka*, **3**(1): 154 161.
- Suriati, L., Candra, P., & Supardika, K. (2021). Aloe-gel coating for delaying physicochemical change of fresh-cut mango. SEAS (Sustainable Environment Agricultural Science), 05(01), 58–65.
- Veerasubramanian, P.K., Thangavel, P., Kannan, R., Chakraborty, S., Ramachandran, B., Suguna, L., & Muthuvijayan, V. (2018). An investigation of konjac glucomannankeratin hydrogel scaffold loaded with Avena sativa extracts for diabetic wound healing. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, **165**, 92–102. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2018.02.022.
- Yurisinthae, E., Dolorosa, E., & Muani, A. (2012). Analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi produksi usahatani lidah buaya di sentra produksi Kota Pontianak Provinsi Kalimantan Barat. *Jurnal Iprekas Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Rekayasa Analisis*, **11**(1), 18–26.